Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pa Reexamination	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/665,687	SKINLO, DAVI	SKINLO, DAVID M.		
	Art Unit			
Robert Hodge	1795			

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review	v filed 10 Nove	ember 2009.
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper and	a conference v	vill not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has☐ The request does not incl☐ A proposed amendment i☐ Other:	lude reasons why a revie	w is appropria	te.
The time period for filing a respor the mail date of the last Office co			ate of the Notice of Appeal or from s been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal be brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	der appeal because there rief in accordance with 35 n from mailing this decision tice of appeal, whicheve 17 CFR 1.136 based upon	e is at least one 7 CFR 41.37. Ton, or the bala r is greater. Fu	a-Appeal Brief conference has been e actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal nce of the two-month time period or the time period for filing of the e of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from cor		(s) is as follow	/S:
3. Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosect applicant at this time.			
4. ⊠ Reopen Prosecution – A of action will be mailed. No further a			
All participants:			
(1) <u>Basia Ridley</u> .	(3	3) <u>Robert Hodg</u>	<u>e</u> .
(2) <u>Jennifer Michener</u> .	(4	·)	
/Basia Ridley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795	/Jennifer K. Michener/ Supervisory Patent Exa Unit 1795	miner, Art	/Robert Hodge/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795